

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
8 WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON  
9 AT SEATTLE

10 AMELIA L. BUTLER,

11 Plaintiff,

12 v.

13 NEW HORIZONS COMPUTER  
14 LEARNING CENTERS-GREAT LAKES,  
15 *et al.*,


16 Defendants.

)  
) CASE NO. C16-1184RSM  
)

)  
) ORDER DENYING MOTION FOR  
) RECONSIDERATION  
)

17 THIS MATTER comes before the Court on Plaintiff's Motions for Reconsideration,  
18 asking the Court to reconsider its prior Order dismissing her case for failing to properly serve  
19 Defendants and reopen her case. Dkts. #54 and #55. "Motions for reconsideration are  
20 disfavored." LCR 7(h). "The court will ordinarily deny such motions in the absence of a  
21 showing of manifest error in the prior ruling or a showing of new facts or legal authority which  
22 could not have been brought to its attention earlier with reasonable diligence." LCR 7(h)(1).  
23 In this case, Ms. Butler presents no persuasive argument that this Court committed manifest  
24 error in its prior Order, nor any new facts or legal authority which could not have been brought  
25 to the Court's attention earlier without reasonable diligence. For these reasons, her motion for  
26 reconsideration is DENIED.  
27  
28

1  
2 DATED this 9 day of May, 2017.  
3  
4

5 

6 RICARDO S. MARTINEZ  
7 CHIEF UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28